IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

RADEMARK PROPERTIES,) NC., a South Carolina corporation;) ICHARD C. DAVIS, an individual)	Civil Action No.2:06-CV-2195-CWH
Plaintiffs,)	
S.)	
&E TELEVISION NETWORKS,) joint venture of the Hearst) orporation, ABC INC. and NBC) NIVERSAL; DEPARTURE FILMS)	PLAINTIFFS REPLY TO THE DEFENDANTS' AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIM
OES 1-20, inclusive	
Defendants.)	
&E TELEVISION NETWORKS,	
Counterclaim Plaintiff,	
)))	
RADEMARK PROPERTIES, INC.) and RICHARD C. DAVIS,	
Counterclaim Defendants.	
Defendants. Defendants. Counterclaim Plaintiff, RADEMARK PROPERTIES, INC.) and entity of unknown origin; and Defendants. Defendants.) Counterclaim Plaintiff,) RADEMARK PROPERTIES, INC.) and RICHARD C. DAVIS,)	

TO: ROBERT H. JORDAN, ESQUIRE, ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS:

The Plaintiffs, above-named, replying to the Amended Answer and Counterclaim of the Defendants would respectfully show unto this honorable Court as follows:

1. Each and every allegation contained in the Counterclaim which is not hereinafter specifically admitted is hereby denied.

- 2. The Plaintiffs deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 76 and 77.
- 3. The Plaintiffs admit so much of the allegations contained in paragraph 78 which allege that "Flip This House" did well in the ratings, leading to a mutual desire among the parties to do a second season and that the Plaintiff, Richard C. Davis hereinafter referred to as ("Davis"), requested a written agreement, however the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 78 are denied.
- 4. That in answering the allegations contained in paragraph 79, Davis admits that an agreement was reached, however, the remaining allegations are denied.
- 5. The Plaintiffs deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 80, 81 and 82.
- 6. That in answering the allegations contained in paragraph 83 the Plaintiffs reiterate and reallege their answers to the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 7. The Plaintiffs admit so much of the allegations contained in paragraph 84 which alleged that the parties had a fully enforceable legal agreement, however, the remaining allegations are denied.
- 8. That the Plaintiffs deny the allegations contained in paragraph 85, 86 and 87.
- 9. That in answering the allegations contained in paragraph 88 the Plaintiffs reiterate and reallege their answers to the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 10. The Plaintiffs admit so much of the allegations contained in paragraph 89 which allege that the parties were obligated to act in good faith, however, the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 89 are denied.
- 11. The Plaintiffs deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 90, 91 and 92.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered the Amended Answer and Counterclaim of the Defendants the Plaintiff prays that same be dismissed and the relief sought by the Plaintiffs in their Complaint be granted.

s/ Frank M. Cisa

Frank M. Cisa, Bar No. 138 622 Johnnie Dodds Blvd. Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464 <u>frank@cisadodds.com</u> (843) 881-3700

Fax: (843) 881-2511 Attorney for the Plaintiffs

Charleston, SC February 27, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, HEREBY CERTIFY, that on this 27nd day of February, 2007 I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such to the following:

Robert H. Jordan, Esquire 151 Meeting Street/ Sixth Floor P.O. Box 1806 (29402) Charleston, SC 29401-2239 robert.jordan@nelsonmullins.com Attorney for Defendants

s/ Frank M. Cisa

February 27, 2007 Charleston, SC