

discuss the advisability and timing of ADR with opposing counsel. Further, the parties are in discussions concerning the possible scheduling of a mediation.

Submitted¹ this 14th day of March, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

NELSON MULLINS RILEY &
SCARBOROUGH, LLP

Of Counsel:

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
Bruce P. Keller
Jeremy Feigelson
S. Zev Parnass
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 909-6000
Admitted *pro hac vice*

By: s/ Robert H. Jordan
Richard A. Farrier, Jr. (Fed. # 772)
Robert H. Jordan (Fed. # 6986)
Liberty Building, Suite 600
151 Meeting Street
Post Office Box 1806 (29402)
Charleston, SC 29401
(843) 853-5200

*Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff AETN and
Defendant Departure Films*

March 14, 2008
Charleston, South Carolina

¹This statement must be filed and served. Local Civil Rule 16.03, D.S.C. A separate statement and certification is required for each party represented.